#### **STAT 704 --- Chapter 6: Multiple Regression**

# • We now consider the situation when several predictors have a linear relationship with the response.

**Example:** (Two predictors, *X*<sub>1</sub> and *X*<sub>2</sub>)

If  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ , then

• This "response surface" is actually a \_\_\_\_\_ as a function of *X*<sub>1</sub> and *X*<sub>2</sub>, not a

• Generally, for k (= p - 1) predictors  $X_1, ..., X_k$ , our model is

where if  $E(\varepsilon_i) = 0$ ,

**Interpretations of regression coefficients:** 

• Again we assume  $\varepsilon_i$  (i = 1, ..., n) are independent N(0,  $\sigma^2$ ) random variables.

**Example** (Sec. 6.9) (Portrait Studio company analyzing sales based on data from 21 cities): Y = sales (in thousands of dollars) for a city  $X_1 =$  number of people (in thousands) age 16 or younger  $X_2 =$  per capita disposable income (in thousands of dollars) of city

• Assuming a linear model is appropriate (should check/verify with data):

Here, does  $\beta_0$  have a reasonable interpretation?

 $\beta_2$  is

## Situations that the General Linear Model Encompasses

<u>Qualitative Predictors</u>: Example:  $Y = \text{length of hospital stay}, X_1 = age of patient, <math>X_2 = \text{gender of patient}$  ( $X_2 = 1$  for females,  $X_2 = 0$  for males).

Note

<u>Polynomial regression</u>: Often appropriate to model a curvilinear relationship between response and predictor(s):

**Transformed Variables:**  $\ln(Y_i) = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i3} + \varepsilon_i$ 

**Interaction Effects:**  $Y_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 X_{i1} + \beta_2 X_{i2} + \beta_3 X_{i1} X_{i2} + \varepsilon_i$ 

Key: All these models are

**Example of nonlinear model:** 

**General Linear Model in Matrix Terms** 

Let

Then the general linear model can be written in matrix notation as:

Our assumptions about the random error vector  $\underline{\varepsilon}$  are:

• Looks complicated, but it makes writing formulas for our least-squares estimates simple.

<u>Fitting the MLR Model</u> (Estimating  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , ...,  $\beta_k$ ): Recall least squares method: Choose estimates of  $\beta_0$ ,  $\beta_1$ ,  $\beta_2$ , ...,  $\beta_k$  to minimize:

Vector calculus can show that the least-squares estimates are

• This will generally be found using a computer package.

• We can also write the <u>fitted values</u> and the <u>residuals</u> for all observations as vectors:

• Note that in our matrix notation,

**Example:** (Portrait Studio Data)

- So fitted regression equation is:
- Interpretation of *b*<sub>1</sub>:

• Interpretation of *b*<sub>2</sub>:

### **Analysis of Variance**

• Again, in multiple regression, we can decompose the total sum of squares into SSR and SSE.

• Formulas for SSTO, SSR, SSE given in book.

**Degrees of Freedom** 

- Still n 1 d.f. for SSTO
- Now, SSE has

Leaves

**ANOVA Table (Multiple Regression)** 

### (Global) F-test for a Regression Relationship

• In multiple regression, our F-test based on tests whether the <u>entire set</u> of predictors  $X_1, ..., X_k$  explains a significant amount of the variation in *Y*.

• If MSR  $\approx$  MSE,

• If MSR >> MSE,

• Formally, we test:

If we reject  $H_0$  and conclude there is some regression relationship between *Y* and the predictors.

• The coefficient of multiple determination

measures the proportion of sample variation in Y explained by its linear relationship with the <u>entire set</u> of predictors  $X_1, ..., X_k$ .

- Again,  $0 \le R^2 \le \overline{1}$ .
- If we keep adding more predictors to our model,  $R^2$  can only
- An adjusted  $R^2$

accounts for the number of predictors in the model.

• It may decrease when we add useless predictors to the model.

Note:  $R^{2}_{a}$  is not always between 0 and 1.

## **Inferences about Individual Regression Parameters**

• The F-test concerns the <u>entire set</u> of predictors.

• If the F-test is "significant" (if we reject H<sub>0</sub>), we may want to determine <u>which</u> of the individual predictors contribute significantly to the model.

**Expected Values and Variances of Vectors** 

• If  $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$  is a vector, then

• If  $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$  is a vector, then

**Examples in MLR model:** 

• Note: If A is a constant matrix and  $\underline{\mathbf{Y}}$  is a random vector, then:

• So for the j-th estimated coefficient  $b_j$  in our model:

• Then a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI for  $\beta_j$  is

• To test whether  $X_j$  is a "significant predictor" in the presence of the other predictors in the model, we test:

using the test statistic

We reject H<sub>0</sub> if

<u>Note</u>: The results of the tests about individual coefficients depend on <u>which other predictors</u> are in the model.

• They therefore determine whether  $X_j$  has a significant <u>marginal</u> effect on the response, given that the other predictors are in the model (i.e., above and beyond the effect of the other predictors).

• Each t-test has the correct significance level, assuming it is the only t-test about a coefficient being done.

• If, in an exploratory model, we conduct multiple t-tests about several coefficients, then P[at least one Type I error] will be greater than the nominal  $\alpha$  of each test, unless we adjust for multiple tests.

**<u>Simplest way</u>: Bonferroni method:** 

More powerful way: Holm method:

**Example** (Studio data):

## CI for Mean Response and PI for Individual Response in MLR

• We may construct a CI for the mean response corresponding to a <u>set</u> of values of the predictor variables:  $X_{hi}, ..., X_{hk}$ .

Define

- We wish to estimate
- A point estimator is
- This estimator has expected value

and variance

• Therefore a  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  CI for  $E(Y_h)$  is

• The  $100(1 - \alpha)\%$  prediction interval for a new response  $Y_{h(new)}$  corresponding to  $\underline{X}_h$  is

• In practice, we use software to find these intervals.

**Example 1** (Studio data): We wish to estimate, with a 95% CI, the mean sales in cities with 65.4 thousand people aged 16 or younger and per capita disposable income of 17.6 thousand dollars.

**Example 2** (Studio data): We wish to predict, with a 95% PI, the sales for a new city with 65.4 thousand people aged 16 or younger and per capita disposable income of 17.6 thousand dollars.