• Often in scientific studies, the researcher presents a specific claim about the population.

• We gather data, and based on these data determine whether or not the claim appears to be true.

**Example 1**: We gather experimental data to determine whether drug A is equally effective, on average, as drug B.

**Example 2**: We gather survey data to test the claim that no fewer than 50% of registered voters support the governor's latest policy.

**Example 3**: We gather observational data to determine whether a verbal test score distribution for females matches the corresponding distribution for males.

• Statistical hypotheses are stated in terms about the population (possibly, about one or more parameters).

• The \_\_\_\_\_ hypothesis (or \_\_\_\_\_ hypothesis, denoted by H<sub>1</sub> or H<sub>a</sub>) represents a theory that the researcher suspects, or seeks evidence to "prove."

• The \_\_\_\_\_ hypothesis (denoted by H<sub>0</sub>) is the negation (opposite) of H<sub>1</sub>.

• H<sub>0</sub> often represents some "previously held belief," "status quo," or "lack of effect." • If we gather a set of sample data and it would be <u>highly unlikely</u> to observe such data if H<sub>0</sub> were true, then we have evidence against \_\_\_\_\_ and in favor of

-----•

• We must select a <u>test statistic</u>: a function of the data whose value indicates whether or not the data agree with H<sub>0</sub>.

• We formulate a <u>decision rule</u>, which tells us which values of the test statistic lead us to <u>reject</u> H<sub>0</sub>.

• Based on the data from our random sample, we calculate the test statistic value and use the decision rule to decide whether or not to reject H<sub>0</sub>.

**Example 2 Hypotheses:** 

• Suppose we will select a random sample of 20 voters and ask each whether he/she agrees with the policy:

<u>Test statistic</u>: T = the number in the sample who

**<u>Decision rule</u>**: Reject H<sub>0</sub> if the test statistic is sufficiently \_\_\_\_\_.

Let's say 5 of the 20 agree with the policy. If *p* were 0.5, then  $P(T \le 5) =$ 

• Is this unlikely enough to cause us to reject the notion that *p* is at least 0.5?

# **Types of Hypotheses**

• A hypothesis is <u>simple</u> if it implies only one possible probability function for the data.

• A hypothesis is <u>composite</u> if it implies numerous possible probability functions for the data.

**Example 2 above: Simple or composite hypotheses?** 

• A \_\_\_\_\_ hypothesis in the case of Example 2 would be:

## **Critical Region**

• The <u>critical region</u> (or \_\_\_\_\_ region) is the set of all test statistic values that lead to rejection of the null hypothesis.

• Our <u>decision rule</u> establishes the critical region.

• If the critical region contains only small values OR only large values of the test statistic, we have a test.

• If the critical region contains BOTH small and large values of the test statistic, we have a \_\_\_\_\_ test.

**Example 2 above:** 

## **Error Types**

• There are two types of incorrect decisions when performing a hypothesis test.

• We could make a <u>Type I error</u>: Rejecting H<sub>0</sub> when it is in fact true.

• We could make a <u>Type II error</u>: Failing to reject H<sub>0</sub> when it is in fact false.

 $\bullet$  The level of significance (denoted  $\alpha)$  of the test is the maximum allowable probability of making a Type I error.

• We typically let α be some small value and then determine our corresponding critical region based on the \_\_\_\_\_\_ of the test statistic.

• The <u>null distribution</u> of the test statistic is its probability distribution when the null hypothesis is assumed to be true.

Back to Example 2. What is  $\alpha$  if our decision rule is "Reject H<sub>0</sub> if  $T \le 6$ "?

Null distribution of *T*:

#### **Power**

• The <u>power</u> (denoted  $1 - \beta$ ) of a test is the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_0$  is <u>false</u>.

• If H<sub>1</sub> is simple, the power is a single number.

• If H<sub>1</sub> is composite, the power depends on "how far away" the truth is from H<sub>0</sub> (more later).

#### **P-value**

• Given observed data and the corresponding test statistic  $t_{obs}$ , the <u>p-value</u> is the probability of seeing a test statistic as or more favorable to H<sub>1</sub> as the  $t_{obs}$  that we did see.

#### **Examples**

Lower-tailed test: P-value =

**Upper-tailed test: P-value =** 

**Two-sided test:** P-value defined to be:

**Example 2 again: P-value was** 

## **Section 2.4: Properties of Hypothesis Tests**

• Often there are multiple test procedures we could use to test our hypotheses of interest.

• How to decide which is the best to use?

• Note that some tests require certain assumptions about the data.

**Example:** 

• A test that makes less restrictive assumptions may be preferred to one whose assumptions are more stringent.

• If the assumptions of a test are not in fact met by the data, using the test may produce invalid results.

## **Properties of Tests**

**<u>Power Function</u>**: Often the hypotheses H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub> are written in terms of a parameter of interest.

• The <u>power function</u> of a test describes P[Reject H<sub>0</sub>] as a function of the parameter value.

**Example 2 again:** Note *p* could be between \_\_\_\_\_ and \_\_\_\_.

H<sub>0</sub>: H<sub>1</sub>:

• The <u>significance level</u> is the <u>maximum</u> value of the power function <u>over the region</u> corresponding to  $H_0$ .

Example 4(a): Suppose we test  $H_0: \mu \le 5$  vs.  $H_1: \mu > 5$  based on 100 observations from a N( $\mu$ , 1) population, using  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

• We use a \_\_\_\_\_: Reject H<sub>0</sub> if

**Power function:** 

Example 4(b): Same as above, but we test H₀: μ = 5 vs.
H₁: μ ≠ 5.
Our test is: Reject H₀ if

#### **Power function:**

• A test is <u>unbiased</u> if  $P[Reject H_0]$  is always at least as large when  $H_0$  is false as when  $H_0$  is true.

Example 2: Example 4(a): Example 4(b):

• We would like our test to have more <u>power</u> to reject a false H<sub>0</sub> when our sample size grows larger.

• A test (actually, sequence of tests) is <u>consistent</u> if for <u>every</u> parameter value in H<sub>1</sub>, the power as

• This assumes the level of significance of the tests in the sequences does not exceed some fixed  $\alpha$ .

Example 4(a):

Calculating Power if both H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub> are Simple

• Recall Example 2, but now suppose the hypotheses are  $H_0: p = 0.5$  vs.  $H_1: p = 0.3$ and suppose again that our decision rule is "Reject  $H_0$  if  $T \le 6$ " where T = number of voters out of the 20 sampled who agree with the governor's policy.

• We have already calculated that our significance level of this test is

• When both H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub> are simple hypotheses, the power will be a single number, which we can easily calculate:

• If we change our decision rule to "Reject H<sub>0</sub> if  $T \le 5$ ", what happens to the significance level?

What happens to the power?

## **Comparing Two Testing Procedures**

• Suppose we have two procedures T<sub>1</sub> and T<sub>2</sub> to test H<sub>0</sub> and H<sub>1</sub>.

 $\bullet$  Assume the significance level  $\alpha$  and the power are the same for each test.

• The test requiring the <u>smaller sample size</u> to achieve that power is <u>more efficient</u>.

• The \_\_\_\_\_ of T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>2</sub> is

• If eff(T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>2</sub>) > 1, then and T<sub>1</sub> is \_\_\_\_\_\_ efficient than T<sub>2</sub>.

• If H<sub>1</sub> is composite, the relative efficiency may be different for each parameter value in the alternative (in H<sub>1</sub>) region.

• A measure of efficiency that does not depend on α, power, or the alternative is the <u>asymptotic relative</u> <u>efficiency</u> (A.R.E.) (or Pitman efficiency).

• If we can find a relative efficiency  $n_2/n_1$  such that this ratio approaches a constant as  $n \to \infty$  (no matter which fixed  $\alpha$  and power are chosen), then the limit of  $n_2/n_1$  is the A.R.E. of T<sub>1</sub> to T<sub>2</sub>.

• We often use the A.R.E. to measure which test is superior.

• Although A.R.E. compares tests based on an infinite sample size, it works fairly well as an approximation of relative efficiency for practical sample sizes.

• The \_\_\_\_\_\_ significance level of a test is the probability that  $H_0$  is actually rejected (if  $H_0$  is true).

**Example 2 again:** Suppose our stated  $\alpha = 0.05$ .

**Decision rule should be:** 

Actual significance level is:

## Section 2.5: Nonparametric Statistics

# • <u>Parametric methods</u> of inference depend on knowledge of the underlying population distribution.

**Example 4:** We assumed the data followed a \_\_\_\_\_\_ distribution.

• We cannot be certain of the distribution of our sample of data.

• We <u>can</u> use preliminary checks (plots, tests for normality) to determine whether the data <u>might</u> <u>reasonably</u> be assumed to come from a normal distribution.

• The classic tests learned in STAT 515 are <u>efficient</u> and <u>powerful</u> when the data are truly normal.

## **Robust Methods**

• A <u>robust method</u> is one that works fairly well even if one of its assumptions is <u>not</u> met.

• The t-tests (one- and two-sample) are <u>robust</u> to the assumption of normality.

• Even if the data are somewhat non-normal, the <u>actual</u> significance level will be close to the <u>nominal</u> significance level.

• However, is the t-test <u>powerful</u> in that case?

• Parametric procedures tend to:

- have good power when the population is light-tailed
- have low power when the population is heavy-tailed

- have low power when the population is skewed Pictures:

• A sample with outliers is a sign of a possibly \_\_\_\_\_ population distribution.

• Many classic parametric procedures are <u>asymptotically distribution-free</u>:

- As the <u>sample size gets larger</u>, the method gets more <u>robust</u>.

- When the sample size is extremely large, the type of population distribution may not matter at all.

• The t-tests are <u>asymptotically distribution-free</u>

because of the \_\_\_\_\_\_ \_\_\_\_\_

• Still, for small to moderate sample sizes, being asymptotically distribution-free is irrelevant: We should pick the procedure that is most <u>powerful</u> and <u>efficient</u>.

#### **Nonparametric Methods**

• <u>Definition</u>: A statistical method is called <u>nonparametric</u> if it meets at least one of these criteria:

(1) The method may be used on data with a nominal measurement scale.

(2) The method may be used on data with an ordinal measurement scale.

(3) The method may be used on data with an interval or ratio measurement scale, where the form of the population distribution is unspecified.

Example 2 data:

Example 3 data: If we do not claim to know the population distributions of the test scores: